

Name _____
 School/District _____

SCV Education Foundation
 Student Enrichment Application Scoring Rubric

Name of Proposal: _____

Score	CA Content Standards	Quality and Sustainability of Proposal	Proposal Design	Mechanics of Application
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Detailed explanation of how CA content standards will be covered •Clearly states specific standards that will be covered in proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Application includes percentage of students served by the proposal (greater than one group or class) •Proposal specifically states how it will enhance or extend student learning and teaching •Well thought out description of how the evaluation of the grant's successful implementation will take place •Proposal is innovative in design •Proposal includes means for collaboration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Objectives or what students will learn clearly detailed with explanation included in summary •Proposal lends itself to multiple year use •Funding only available through this award 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Complete application, no missing sections and received on time •Appropriate category request •Objectives clearly stated •Neat application (typed or completed online) •Specific and detailed budget with itemized costs and where to find items needed for the proposal implementation •Summary is included and covers all necessary components
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •CA Content Standards mentioned in proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Proposal limited to one class or group of students to benefit from implementation •Proposal generally states how it will enhance or extend student learning and teaching •Vague mention of evaluation plan for grant's implementation •Proposal has been used before, lacks originality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Some or limited explanation of what students will learn •Proposal lends itself to single year use •Partial funding available through other resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Objectives not clearly stated •Application hand-written •Includes budget, some itemized costs and where to find items needed for implementation •Summary is included, but not as detailed
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Vague or unclear mention of the CA Content Standards that will be covered in the implementation of the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Limited number of students to benefit by proposal •Proposal does not cover how its implementation will enhance or extend student learning or teaching •Little or no explanation of evaluation plan for grant's implementation •No originality and proposal does not lend itself to opportunities for integration or collaboration. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Little or no mention of impact on student learning •Proposal limits itself in amount of use •Funding available through multiple sources especially site funds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Vague or incomplete objectives •Application difficult to read •Budget very general, missing itemized costs and/or where to find items needed for proposal •Summary does not cover all components

Comments: